Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/692,569	WATANABE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application:	allowed
(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.	(3)	·
(2) <u>Laura Wanek</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 March 2006	Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 43		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE dependency needed to be changed since currently off a		VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the contraction of all issues. 	ion. The examiner will provide a vocarate record of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
The and S		3,1
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)